HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (C) No. 2065 of 2007

PETITIONER : ALPANA TYAGI D/o Shri R.P.Tyagi, Aged about 35 years, R/o C/o Shri R.P.S. Tyagi, Forest Colony, Raja Talab, Raipur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Chief Secretary, State of C.G. D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Public Service Commission – Through its Secretary, Shankar Nagar, Raipur (C.G.)

3. The Chairman, Public Service Commission, Shankar Nagar, Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

SB: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Present: Shri Adil Minhaz, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri Vinay Harit, Deputy Advocate General with Shri S.K.Mishra, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondent No. 1.
Shri Abhishek Sinha, Advocate for respondent No. 2 and 3.

ORAL ORDER

(Passed on 13th day of December, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has appeared in Civil Services (Main) Examination held in the year 2005. The petitioner could not obtain the results. Being aggrieved, the petitioner has filed this petition seeking following reliefs.

   (i) That the, Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to call for the entire records pertaining to the result of the petitioner including her answer-sheets and the mark-sheet relating to the State Service (Main) Examination, 2005.

   (ii) That the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondents to release all the results which have been withheld by the respondent commission.

(iii) That this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to the petitioner to appear in the interview which is to be conducted for State Services Examination 2005.

(iv) Any other relief which this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

2. The main relief is contained in para 7(ii). The results have been declared and a mark-sheet thereof has been supplied to the petitioner. With regard to the prayer No. 7(iii), this prayer cannot be granted as the interview has already taken place in the year 2006 and the petitioner being unsuccessful, cannot be permitted to appear in the interview. Prayer No. 7(i) and (iv) are incidental prayers, no order is necessary.

3. In view of the foregoing, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that nothing survives in this petition.

4. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge